



Investigating Foliar Nutrients on Total Chlorophyll and Some Chemical Constituents of Groundnut under Drought

B. Rajitha*, P. Latha, P. Sudhakar and V. Umamahesh

Crop Physiology, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Tirupati-517502, A.P
Department of Crop Physiology, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati-517502, A.P

*Corresponding Author E-mail: rajiagri26@gmail.com

Received: 27.05.2017 | Revised: 30.06.2017 | Accepted: 7.07.2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Dryland Farm of S.V. Agricultural College, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Tirupati during rabi season, 2014-15. The study aimed to enhance drought tolerance and yield through foliar nutrition in groundnut crop under moisture stress conditions. In the present study, treatments include ten foliar application of nutrients and two without foliar applications (one control irrigated and one control stress). The results revealed that, significant variations for morphological, physiological and yield parameters were observed. The foliar spray treatment KNO_3 @ 0.5% recorded significantly 55 per cent high total chlorophyll content compared to control stress treatment followed by other treatments NPK- 19:19:19 @ 0.5% and KCl @ 1% with 54 and 50 per cent high total chlorophyll content respectively. Among the treatments, there significance treatmental differences were not found. Treatments showed 0.153 - 0.159 $g\ g^{-1}$ range in total carbohydrates, 25 per cent - 26.53 per cent range in protein content and also treatments showed oil content ranges from 46.87 per cent - 48.57 per cent.

Key words: Groundnut, Moisture stress, Nutrients, Foliar application

INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is considered one of the most important oilseed crops in the world. It contains about 50% oil, 25-30% protein, 20% carbohydrate and 5% fiber and ash which make a substantial contribution to human nutrition. The high-energy value, protein content, and minerals make groundnut a rich source of nutrition at a comparatively low price. About two thirds of world production is crushed for oil and remaining one third is consumed as food,

because its high price in the international commodity market. Most of the cultivated area in India using high rates of NPK chemical fertilizers, especially nitrogen fertilizer however, one of the most important factors for crop growth and high yield with good quality. Shortage of nitrogen will restrain chlorophyll synthesis. Nitrogen is major component of chlorophyll content in plant. Foliar spraying of nitrogen at moisture deficit can sustain the chlorophyll content of plants under moisture stress.

Cite this article: Rajitha, B., Latha, P., Sudhakar, P. and Umamahesh, V., Investigating Foliar Nutrients on Total Chlorophyll and Some Chemical Constituents of Groundnut under Drought, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 6(1): 637-641 (2018). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.3035>

Dwivedi *et al*⁵, stated that in groundnut, total oil and total protein content was not affected by mid-season drought, but end-of-season drought increased protein content. This increase was progressive, corresponding to the intensity of water deficit. Differences in protein content became significant even at relatively low levels of moisture deficit. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of foliar application of chemical fertilizers on total chlorophyll and some chemical constituents of groundnut.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at S.V. Agricultural College Farm, Tirupati campus of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, during *rabi* season, 2014-15 which is geographically situated at 13.5°N latitude and 79.5°E longitude, with an altitude of 182.9 m above the mean sea level in the Southern Agro-Climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh. Groundnut variety 'Dharani' was selected for the study whose duration was 110 days. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized block design with 12 treatments replicated thrice. The following treatments were foliar applied at 60 days after sowing (15 days after imposition of moisture stress). Treatments consists of T₁ - Control (Irrigated), T₂ - Control (Stress), T₃- Water spray, T₄- 2 % Urea, T₅- 2 % Di Ammonium Phosphate (DAP), T₆ - 1 % KCl, T₇ - 0.5 % ZnSO₄, T₈- 0.5 % FeSO₄, T₉ - 1 % Urea + 0.5 % Zn SO₄ + 0.5 % FeSO₄, T₁₀- NPK 19:19:19 @ 0.5 % (water soluble fertilizers), T₁₁- NPK 17:17:17 @ 0.5 % (water soluble fertilizers) and T₁₂ - Potassium Nitrate @ 0.5 %.

The experiment was conducted in a sandy loam soil with a plot size of 3 x 3 m. The crop was sown on 18th December, 2014 with a spacing of 22.5 X 10 cm. Nitrogen was applied as basal dose @ 20 kg N ha⁻¹ in the form of urea. Phosphorus and potash were given @ 40 kg P₂O₅ and 50 kg K₂O per ha basally. Gypsum was applied at 35 DAS @ 500 kg ha⁻¹. Hand weeding and hoeing was done twice at 20 days interval after sowing.

Prophylactic measures were taken up to protect the crop from all insect pest and diseases throughout the crop growth period. Need based irrigations were given, however, the crop was irrigated to field capacity at 40 DAS and then there was no irrigation provided between 45-75 DAS. Treatments were foliar applied on 60th day after sowing *i.e.* 15 days after imposition of moisture stress.

Chlorophyll content and quality parameters of groundnut variety Dharani influenced by foliar spray treatments for drought mitigation were recorded at four stages at 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAS.

Chlorophyll content (mg g⁻¹):

Chlorophyll content was estimated by soaking 0.1gm of fresh leaf sample in 10 ml Dimethyl sulfoxide for 24 hours in dark. Then light absorbance values were recorded at 645 nm and 663 nm using UV 2450 visible spectrophotometer. The chlorophyll content was calculated by using the formula given by Arnon¹.

$$\text{Chlorophyll a (mg g}^{-1}\text{)} = 12.7 (\text{D } 663) - 2.69 (\text{D } 645) \times \frac{\text{V}}{1000 \times \text{W}}$$

$$\text{Chlorophyll b (mg g}^{-1}\text{)} = 22.9 (\text{D } 645) - 4.68 (\text{D } 663) \times \frac{\text{V}}{1000 \times \text{W}}$$

$$\text{Total Chlorophyll (mg g}^{-1}\text{)} = 20.2 (\text{D } 645) + 8.02 (\text{D } 663) \times \frac{\text{V}}{1000 \times \text{W}}$$

Where,

V = Volume made up

W = Weight of leaf sample

Total Carbohydrates (g g⁻¹):

Total carbohydrates were estimated by Anthrone method given by Sadasivam and Manickam⁸. Carbohydrates are first hydrolyzed into simple sugars using dilute hydrochloric acid. In hot acidic medium, glucose is dehydrated to hydroxymethyl furfural. This compound forms with anthrone, a green colored product with an absorption maximum at 630nm.

Protein Content (%):

The protein content of the kernel was estimated by InfratecTM 1241 Grain analyzer and expressed as percentage.

Oil Percentage (%):

The oil content of the kernel was estimated by Infratec™ 1241 Grain analyzer and expressed as percentage.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of present investigation revealed existence of sufficient treatment variability among the treatments tested for chlorophyll content and Quality Parameters (Table 1 & 2).

The data indicated that, there was no significant difference found among treatments at 45 DAS. Significant differences were found among the treatments for chl a, chl b, and total chlorophyll content at 60, 75 DAS and 90 DAS (Table 1). Total Chlorophyll content decreased from flowering to pod development stage. Total chlorophyll content was decreased at 60 DAS under moisture stress conditions. At 75 DAS, control stress treatment recorded 55 per cent decreased total chlorophyll content value compared to control irrigated treatment. At 90 DAS, control stress treatment recorded 35 per cent decreased total chlorophyll content value compared to control irrigated treatment. Maiti *et al*⁷, reported that in groundnut, decrease in chlorophyll content in leaves of plants is due to high rate of chlorophyll degradation more than its biosynthesis under water stress conditions.

Among the foliar spray treatments to mitigate moisture stress, significant difference was found at 75-90 DAS of crop growth period. At 75 DAS, after imposition of foliar sprays increase in total chlorophyll content observed except control stress treatment. The foliar spray treatment KNO₃ @ 0.5% recorded significantly 55 per cent high total chlorophyll content compared to control stress treatment followed by other treatments NPK- 19:19:19 @ 0.5% and KCl @ 1% with 54 and 50 per cent high total chlorophyll content respectively. At 90 DAS, the foliar spray treatments KNO₃ @ 0.5% and NPK- 19:19:19

@ 0.5% recorded 32 per cent higher total chlorophyll content followed by KCl @ 1 % with 30 per cent higher total chlorophyll content compared to control stress treatment. Other foliar spray treatments showed moderate total chlorophyll content values ranged from 2.23 to 2.86 mg g⁻¹.

Choudhary and Yadav⁴ reported that in cowpea, foliar application of 2 per cent DAP significantly increased the chlorophyll content (2.29 mg g⁻¹) of cowpea as compared to control. Bahram² concluded about the increase in chlorophyll content of soybean with nitrogen spray under water deficit conditions is due to, nitrogen delay the beginning of leaf senescence.

Quality Traits**Total carbohydrates (g g⁻¹)**

Total carbohydrates, oil and protein content in groundnut kernels at harvest as influenced by foliar spray treatments are presented in Table 2.

From the present data, among the treatments, there significance treatment differences were not found. Treatments showed 0.153 - 0.159 g g⁻¹ range in total carbohydrates. Chakraborty *et al*³, reported that in groundnut, moisture deficit stress alter the carbohydrate composition of the seeds which play crucial role in osmotic adjustment.

Protein content (%)

Dwivedi *et al*⁵, stated that total oil and total protein content was not affected by mid-season drought, but end-of-season drought increased protein content. This increase was progressive, corresponding to the intensity of water deficit. Differences in protein content became significant even at relatively low levels of moisture deficit.

From the present data among the treatments, significance treatment differences were not found in protein content. Treatments showed protein content ranges from 25 per cent - 26.53 per cent.

Oil percentage (%)

Quality parameters like protein and oil content of seed were significantly improved by foliar application of K_2SO_4 in groundnut. This is probably due to the positive effect of sulphate in enhancing the protein and oil content in crops⁹. The impact of foliar application of KCl 0.5 per cent and NAA 40 ppm also increased the oil content significantly in soybean¹⁰.

From the present data among the treatments, significance treatment differences were not

found in oil content. Treatments showed oil content ranges from 46.87 per cent - 48.57 per cent.

El-Habbasha *et al*⁶., stated that in groundnut, application of zinc as foliar spray at seed filling stage has increased oil content. This was due to enhanced nitrogen uptake by plant due to foliar spray of zinc. When nitrogen content increases in the seed, directly it increases the protein and oil content of seed.

Table 1: Effect of foliar application of nutrients on chlorophyll content ($mg\ g^{-1}$) of groundnut (var. Dharani) under moisture stress conditions

| S. No. | Treatments | 45 DAS | | | 60 DAS | | | 75 DAS | | | 90 DAS | | |
|--------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Chl a | Chl b | Tot chl | Chl a | Chl b | Tot chl | Chl a | Chl b | Tot chl | Chl a | Chl b | Tot chl |
| 1. | Control(Irrigated) | 2.77 | 0.27 | 3.05 | 2.84 | 0.17 | 3.00 | 2.17 | 0.33 | 2.50 | 2.65 | 0.43 | 3.07 |
| 2. | Control (Stress) | 2.56 | 0.45 | 3.01 | 0.99 | 0.22 | 1.01 | 0.87 | 0.25 | 1.12 | 1.70 | 0.31 | 2.01 |
| 3. | Water spray | 2.52 | 0.53 | 3.05 | 0.88 | 0.24 | 1.12 | 1.16 | 0.29 | 1.45 | 1.87 | 0.36 | 2.23 |
| 4. | 2% Urea | 2.73 | 0.37 | 3.10 | 0.84 | 0.27 | 1.11 | 1.26 | 0.30 | 1.56 | 2.02 | 0.41 | 2.42 |
| 5. | 2% DAP | 2.66 | 0.45 | 3.11 | 0.89 | 0.22 | 1.11 | 1.97 | 0.29 | 1.58 | 1.88 | 0.38 | 2.26 |
| 6. | 1 % KCl | 2.72 | 0.46 | 3.18 | 1.00 | 0.21 | 1.25 | 1.99 | 0.40 | 2.39 | 2.40 | 0.51 | 2.90 |
| 7. | 0.2% $ZnSO_4$ | 2.65 | 0.35 | 3.00 | 0.89 | 0.19 | 1.08 | 2.03 | 0.32 | 2.35 | 2.10 | 0.42 | 2.52 |
| 8. | 0.5% $FeSO_4$ | 2.70 | 0.38 | 3.08 | 0.85 | 0.31 | 1.16 | 1.73 | 0.28 | 2.01 | 1.91 | 0.38 | 2.29 |
| 9. | 1% Urea+0.2% $ZnSO_4$ + 0.5% $FeSO_4$ | 2.83 | 0.35 | 3.02 | 0.94 | 0.21 | 1.15 | 1.61 | 0.34 | 1.95 | 2.06 | 0.44 | 2.49 |
| 10. | N:P:K- 19:19:19 @0.5% | 2.65 | 0.47 | 3.12 | 0.99 | 0.22 | 1.21 | 2.08 | 0.34 | 2.42 | 2.45 | 0.53 | 2.98 |
| 11. | N:P:K- 17:17:17 @ 0.5% | 2.63 | 0.47 | 3.10 | 0.97 | 0.24 | 1.21 | 1.80 | 0.45 | 2.25 | 2.36 | 0.50 | 2.86 |
| 12. | 0.5% KNO_3 | 2.77 | 0.44 | 3.15 | 0.73 | 0.32 | 1.05 | 2.06 | 0.42 | 2.48 | 2.46 | 0.52 | 2.96 |
| | MEAN | 2.68 | 0.41 | 3.08 | 1.07 | 0.24 | 1.29 | 1.73 | 0.33 | 2.50 | 2.16 | 0.43 | 2.58 |
| | CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS | 0.084 | 0.132 | 0.105 | 0.12 | 0.116 | 0.25 | 0.133 | 0.097 | 0.125 |
| | SEm ± | 0.032 | 0.067 | 0.066 | 0.028 | 0.045 | 0.036 | 0.083 | 0.039 | 0.086 | 0.045 | 0.033 | 0.043 |

Table 2: Effect of foliar application of nutrients on quality parameters of groundnut (var. Dharani) under moisture stress conditions

| S. No. | Treatments | Total Carbohydrates (g g ⁻¹) | Oil Content (%) | Protein Content (%) |
|--------|--|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1. | Control (Irrigated) | 0.158 | 47.13 | 26.93 |
| 2. | Control (Stress) | 0.154 | 46.87 | 26.33 |
| 3. | Water spray | 0.157 | 47.77 | 26.60 |
| 4. | 2% Urea | 0.154 | 47.40 | 25.87 |
| 5. | 2% DAP | 0.152 | 46.93 | 26.40 |
| 6. | 1 % KCl | 0.159 | 47.97 | 26.47 |
| 7. | 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 0.153 | 48.57 | 25.27 |
| 8. | 0.5% FeSO ₄ | 0.156 | 48.03 | 26.53 |
| 9. | 1% Urea+0.2% ZnSO ₄ +0.5% FeSO ₄ | 0.158 | 47.87 | 26.57 |
| 10. | N:P:K- 19:19:19 @ 0.5% | 0.156 | 48.57 | 25.00 |
| 11. | N:P:K- 17:17:17 @ 0.5% | 0.154 | 47.70 | 26.37 |
| 12. | 0.5% KNO ₃ | 0.153 | 47.60 | 26.23 |
| | MEAN | 0.155 | 47.70 | 26.21 |
| | CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS |
| | SEm ± | 0.011 | 1.233 | 0.23 |

REFERENCES

1. Arnon, D.I., Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology*, **24**: 1-15 (1949).
2. Bahram, M., Spraying of soybeans with nitrogenous fertilizers at earlier flowering stage: An ecofriendly fertilization management system to improve crop yield. *Legume Research*, **36(4)**: 318-322 (2011).
3. Chakraborty, K., Bishi, S.K., Singh, A.L., Kalariya, K.A and Kumar, L., Moisture deficit stress affects yield and quality in groundnut seeds. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*, **18(2)**: 136-141 (2013).
4. Choudhary, G.L. and Yadav, L.R., Effect of fertility levels and foliar nutrition on cowpea productivity. *Ph. D Thesis*. S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur. 303-29 (2011).
5. Dwivedi, S.L., Nigam, S.N., Jambunathan, R., Sahrawat, K.L., Nagabhushanam, G.V.S., and Raghunath, K., Effect of genotypes and environments on oil content and oil quality parameters and their correlation in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Peanut Science*, **20**: 84-89 (1993).
6. El-Habbasha, S.F., Impact of Nitrogen Fertilizer and Zinc Foliar Application on Growth, Yield, Yield Attributes and Some Chemical Constituents of Groundnut. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, **4(3)**: 20 (2015).
7. Maiti, R.K., Moreno-Limon, S. and Wesche-Ebeling, P., Responses of groundnut crop to various abiotic stress factors and its physiological and biochemical basis of resistances. *Agricultural Reviews*, **21**: 155-167 (2000).
8. Sadasivam S. and Manickam, A., Biochemical methods for agricultural sciences. pp: 12-13 (1992).
9. Umar, S. and Bansal, S.K., Effect of potassium application on water stressed groundnut. *Fertilizer News*, **42(10)**: 27-29 (1997).
10. Velu, G., Impact of soil moisture stress and ameliorants on growth of soybean. *Madras Agriculture Journal*, **86(4)**: 330-332 (1999).